







P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,519	09/14/2000	Stephen Richard Lewallen	STL000005US1	2052	
24033	7590 12/17/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP			HOANG, PH	HOANG, PHUONG N	
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE SUITE 210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BEVERLY HI	BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212		2126	9	
•			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			P
	Application	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/662,519	LEWALLEN, STEPHEN RICHARD	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong N. Hoang	2126	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appl ) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper replication places the application	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	iling date of the final reject THE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	of extension and the corresponding a the shortened statutory period for re- ice later than three months after the r	mount of the fee. The app bly originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or searcl	n (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claim	ıs.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
	l be allowable if submitted in a	separate timely filed	amendment
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	i be allowable if subfillited iff a	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		nsidered but does NC	T place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 42</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	JOHN FOLL SUPERVISORY PAT TECHNOLOGY (	ANSBEE Ent fxamin <b>er</b>	·



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but have not been found to be persuasive..